

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI. CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 36 OF 2006

Johnson & Johnson Employees Union .. Petitioner

Versus

M/s. Johnson & Johnson Limited and
Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Mahesh Londhe i/by Sanjay Udeshi & Co. fore
Petitioner.

Mrs. P.H. Kantharia, A.G.P. for State.

**CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
R.V. MORE, JJ.
DATED: JANUARY 25, 2007**

P.C.:

. Permission of the Advocate General as required under Section 15 of the Contempts of Courts Act, 1971 has not been taken. It is mandatory before filing a petition for criminal contempt. Considering that, the contempt Petition dismissed as non maintainable in view of failure to take permission of the Advocate General under Section 15 of the Contempts of Courts Act, 1971.

. It is however, made clear that it is always open to the Petitioner if so advised to apply for permission and then take such steps in law to which they are entitled to.

((-2-))

. With the above observations, Petition stands disposed of.

(R.V. MORE, J.)

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)